## Case 1:14-cr-00799-RA Document 22 Filed 06/30/15 Page 1 of 9

F5MTVINC 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 14 CR 799 (RA) V. 5 CRISTIAN VINTILA, MASSIMO ROMAGNOLI and VIRGIL FLAVIN 6 GEORGESCU, 7 Defendants. 8 9 New York, N.Y. May 22, 2015 11:30 a.m. 10 11 Before: 12 HON. RONNIE ABRAMS, 13 District Judge 14 15 **APPEARANCES** 16 PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the 17 Southern District of New York ILAN GRAFF 18 ANDREA SURRATT Assistant United States Attorneys 19 FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NEW YORK 20 Attorneys for Defendant Vintila CHRISTOPHER FLOOD 21 CALVIN SCHOLAR 22 Attorney for Defendant Romagnoli 23 ALBERT DYAN Attorney for Defendant Georgescu 24 25

(In open court, case called)

2

3

MR. GRAFF: Good morning, your Honor, Ilan Graff for the government with my colleague Andrea Surratt.

4

THE COURT: Good morning.

5

Good morning, Judge Abrams, Albert Dayan MR. DAYAN:

6

for Mr. Georgescu.

for Mr. Romagnoli.

7

Good morning to both of you. THE COURT:

8

MS. FLOOD: Good morning, Christopher Flood, Federal

9

Defenders of New York, on behalf of Mr. Vintila. Sorry I'm the

10

cause of the delay this morning. There was confusion between

11

Ms. Shroff and I about the time of the conference.

12

THE COURT: It happens.

13

MR. SCHOLAR: Good morning, your Honor, Calvin Scholar

MR. GRAFF: Your Honor, all discovery that the

14

15 16

THE COURT: Good morning. Where we are on discovery?

17

government has been produced. There's a little bit of 18

19

remaining discovery that we produce on a rolling basis as we

20

receive it. Specifically there were some search warrants 21 produced to all the parties for the defendants' email accounts,

22

as well as devices seized from them at the time of their

23

arrest. The search warrants were executed approximately six

24

weeks, two months ago. The emails we received last week and

25

produced to defense counsel. The devices continue to be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

processed, so the contents of electronic devices are the only bit of outstanding discovery.

Do you have any sense of timing on that? THE COURT: I was told that it would be a matter of a MR. GRAFF: couple of weeks, but in my experience that's a little bit of fluid estimate. It depends on the demands of the lab that is processing them. So my sense is certainly by the end of June, but I'm hesitant to be more specific on that.

THE COURT: Are the defendants in a position to tell me if they intend to make any motions? Is there any reason that we can't set a motion schedule? Let me phrase it that way.

MR. DAYAN: Yes, we intend to file. On behalf of Mr. Georgescu, I have a basis to file, and I don't see why a motion schedule should not be set at this time.

THE COURT: Do you know yet what motion you intend to file?

MR. DAYAN: Yes, I have a few issues that I intend to raise. One is the severance issue, which I do believe that I have a basis for. I have an issue a legal argument on one of the counts that charges the defendant with a conspiracy to commit reckless murder. For me, that's an inconsistent type of theory. Obviously the conspiracy is a specific objective crime, as opposed to a recklessness being completely opposite of that, so I intend to argue in my motion that is an oxymoron,

if I may. And there may be other issues, but those are the ones that are sort of glaring for me now.

3

THE COURT: I'm trying to get a sense if these are motions that may need a hearing or legal motions that we can decide on papers.

5

MR. DAYAN: I'm not sure. They may require a hearing. I would definitely ask for a hearing on both of those motions.

8

7

THE COURT: Meaning oral argument.

9

MR. DAYAN: Oral argument, yes.

10

THE COURT: And Mr. Flood?

11

12

MS. FLOOD: We are still considering whether we will be filing any motions. I can't really be certain at this stage.

13

THE COURT: We'll set a schedule today.

1415

MR. SCHOLAR: Judge, on behalf Mr. Romagnoli, I don't anticipate making any motions at this time. However, I'm still

1617

going through some emails that I received this last week.

18

That's where we stand at this point.

19

THE COURT: How much time do you believe you need to make a motion, Mr. Dayan?

21

22

23

20

MR. DAYAN: Well, only because I haven't really gone through all the discovery -- I am in receipt of it, and it's voluminous -- I would ask, if possible, to get like 45 days.

24

25

THE COURT: That's fine, I will give you 45 days. Why don't I give you until July 3rd, so right under 45. I can give

23

24

25

you the July 4th weekend if you want it. 1 2 MR. DAYAN: Just in case. 3 THE COURT: So I will give you until July 7. How much 4 time does the government need to respond? 5 MR. GRAFF: If the government could have three weeks 6 to respond. 7 THE COURT: Yes, that's July 28. 8 And for a reply? 9 MR. DAYAN: Ten days. 10 THE COURT: So that's August 7. 11 Before I schedule a hearing, why don't we talk about a 12 trial date, and then I will schedule a hearing before that. 13 About how long do you anticipate this trial will last? 14 MR. GRAFF: Approximately two weeks for all three defendants. 15 THE COURT: And do any of you -- and I imagine the 16 17 answer will be yes -- have time constraints, or is there a 18 particular time that you think would be best to try the case? 19 Have you discussed amongst yourselves? 20 I'm realistically looking at October, but if you would 21 like me to try to fit it in beforehand, I could try to do that.

I don't know if the end of the summer works.

I'm seeing shaking heads. Does October work for everybody?

MR. SCHOLAR: October would work for us.

MR. DAYAN: I just would hate, Judge, if I may, such a short period of time for such a complex trial. I know it's only two weeks, but to me it's highly possible, maybe even probable, that Mr. Georgescu may go to trial in this case. And because there are so many complex issues in this case, I would respectfully ask if we could start this trial sometime, if not December, but in January. I don't want to put myself on such a tight leach. He's incarcerated. I have to visit him all the time. It's very, very time consuming for preparation, even for motions and discovery, I don't want to hold myself on such a short leash in case this case will go to trial.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DAYAN: I would respectfully ask to go into December or January if you don't want to keep it in December.

THE COURT: Defense counsel, do you all have preferences, time constraints?

I will tell you this, I will expect it to be a firm trial date. So I want to give you now the time that you think you need to prepare for this trial, but then I don't intend to move it absent real extraordinary circumstances. So I want you to look at your schedules, talk amongst yourselves, and propose when you think you'll be in a position to try a case like this, and I am happy to hear you out.

MS. FLOOD: That means that -- I have a conspiracy -- pardon me, not a conspiracy, a fraud trial November 8 that I

expect to be about three weeks, and that's going to take me to December. Being able to realistically prepare for a December trial date, that's not realistic.

THE COURT: You said a November 2nd trial?

MS. FLOOD: 8th.

MR. DAYAN: May I propose January 2nd, 3rd, the first week of January, if possible, if counsel would agree.

MS. FLOOD: November 3rd. I misspoke, I said the 8th, it's the 3rd. But January seems much more realistic, given what the Court has said about a firm trial date, genuine difficulties, complexities in this case, the fact that we have three defendants.

MR. DAYAN: Mr. Georgescu may put on the defense case as well, Judge, that's beyond --

THE COURT: I think the issue -- look, I would be inclined to schedule it earlier. I'm looking, but Mr. Flood has a November trial date, that's when I was looking. And it seems like that doesn't give us too much time in December before the Christmas week.

So I will schedule it January 4th. But again, I just want to be clear, this is a firm trial date, and I'm telling the defendants themselves that I'm not suggesting that there's any reason any of you would want to change counsel, but if for some reason you want to, I want you to know this date is firm. Even if for some reason you have to change counsel, I'm not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to move this trial date absent really something extraordinary. So I will schedule the trial date for January 4th, at defendants' request, but I just want to be clear about that. So whatever you need to do to prepare you should be doing in advance of January 4.

And now I'll schedule a hearing. Given how far off the trial is, it's not as pressing that we have it quickly.

Are you all free on the week of October 5th?

MS. FLOOD: Yes, for Mr. Vintila.

MR. DAYAN: For Mr. Georgescu, we are, Judge.

MR. SCHOLAR: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Why don't we put this on for October 5th at 10 o'clock for a hearing or oral argument and we'll see what it is.

Is the government seeking to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act?

MR. GRAFF: Your Honor, the government is, to allow the parties to prepare the motions that they contemplated and to prepare for the potential trial in this case and, of course, to continue review of discovery and plea discussions, the government would ask that time be prospectively excluded from today's date until October 5th, the hearing date that your Honor scheduled with motions.

> THE COURT: Any objection?

None from Georgescu. MR. DAYAN:

## Case 1:14-cr-00799-RA Document 22 Filed 06/30/15 Page 9 of 9

F5MTVINC

1 MR. SCHOLAR: No, your Honor. 2 MS. FLOOD: No. 3 THE COURT: So I will exclude time from today until October 5th pursuant to 18, United States Code, Section 4 5 3161(h)(7)(A). I find that the ends of justice served by 6 excluding such time outweigh the interests of the public and 7 the defendants in a speedy trial. So it will allow time for the defendants to make their motions, the Court to decide on 8 9 those motions, and also to begin preparing for trial as well. 10 Are there any other issues that we need to discuss 11 today? 12 MR. GRAFF: Nothing from the government, your Honor. 13 MR. DAYAN: None from us. 14 THE COURT: Anything else? 15 MR. SCHOLAR: No. 16 MS. FLOOD: No, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Thanks all, have a nice weekend. 18 000 19 20 21 22 23 24 25